

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/090,236	03/04/2002	David Harold Goode	SVL920010060US1 6572		
7.	590 05/19/2005	EXAMINER			
Paul D Greele		WOOD, WILLIAM H			
Ohlandt, Greele	ey, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.I				
10th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
One Landmark Square			2193		
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/090,2	236	GOODE, DAVID	HAROLD				
		Examine	or	Art Unit					
		William H		2193					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 March 2005.								
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)⊡	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment	· · ·								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTC	D-152)				
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>040902 and 111904</u> .		6)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-23 are pending and have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS)s submitted on 09 April 2002 and 11 November 2004 were considered by the examiner as indicated. Abstracts not fully representative of entire documents not considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-3, 9-12, 16-19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Hohensee** et al (USPN 6,826,748) as indicated in the previous office action of 15 December 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,236

Art Unit: 2193

Claims 4-8, 13-15 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hohensee** et al (USPN 6,826,748) in view of **Engle** et al. (USPN 6,691,125) as indicated in the previous office action of 15 December 2004.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 18 March 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued: ¹⁾ **Hohensee** did not disclose a memory file; ²⁾ **Hohensee** did not disclose recognizing an occurrence of an instruction in source code that does not utilize a capability of computer platform to employ a memory file; and ³⁾ **Hohensee** did not disclose supplementing source code with an instruction that utilizes a capability of a computer platform to employ a memory file. These arguments are respectfully found unpersuasive.

First, the broadest reasonable interpretation of memory file includes all processor internal forms of memory except for actual disk drives as illustrated by Applicant's specification at paragraph on page 4, line 27. Thus, the memory forms of **Hohensee** found in column 14 as originally cited are included.

Second, according to above, **Hohensee** clearly recognizes some instructions using external memory as illustrated by the cited portions.

Third, **Hohensee**, also therefore "supplements" or translates instructions for internal memory as illustrated by the internal cache memory (note previously cited portions).

Therefore, the rejections are maintained.

Art Unit: 2193

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (571)-272-3736. The examiner can normally be reached 9:00am - 5:30pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571)-272-3719. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

William H. Wood

May 16, 2005

ANIL KHATRI PRIMARY EXAMINER